

Case Title:

Name:


Organization:

Summary:

to the new local	$\label{eq:response} \begin{array}{ c c c c c } & \mbox{integration} & integr$					2 D+	8 Per	
	Processes Overses During the initial brainitias program for the final work The fixed and the final man agends, but its terms of per darge, and allowing them allowing VER, Pen and							
	(R. 1 Jaco nor making a	05.2 When one approves them	IR. D Ta subur runners are	ND Are these specific egors				and the second
	difference, boar de we ressaure that	Téxton hav comparative advertage in compared to others!		of school expression that are associated to the government Whet are they?				

A virtual Tibet PMELP co-design session among USAID/RDMA's multidisciplinary team and IPs using a prearranged tool. 1. WHAT: What is the general context in which the case takes place? What organizational or development challenge(s) or opportunities prompted you to collaborate, learn, and/or adapt?

2. What two CLA Sub-Components are most clearly reflected in your case?



3. HOW: What steps did you take to apply CLA approaches to address the challenge or opportunity described above?

4. **RESULTS:** Choose one of the following questions to answer.

We know you may have answers in mind for both questions; However please choose one to highlight as part of this case story

5. ENABLING CONDITIONS: How have enabling conditions - resources (time/money/staff), organizational culture, or business/work processes - influenced your results? How would you advise others to navigate any challenges you may have faced?